F. No. 1-A/NMA/NOC-2014 Government of India Ministry of Culture National Monuments Authority

The approved minutes of 135th meeting held on 28th March, 2016 is hereby forwarded for perusal and comments, if any.

(Navneet Soni) Member Secretary 04.04.2016

Government of India Ministry of Culture National Monuments Authority 24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 135th MEETING OF NMA

Venue

Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,

New Delhi 110001

Time & Date

- 10.30 P.M on 28th March, 2016

The meeting was attended by the following:

- 1. Sh. Saleem Beg, Chairperson (I/C) & Whole Time Member, NMA
- 2. Sh. Pukhraj Maroo, Part Time Member NMA
- 3. Ms. Shalini Mahajan, Part Time Member NMA
- 4. Mr. Navneet Soni, Member Secretary

Agenda No. 1

The minutes of 133^{rd} meeting held on 29^{th} February, 2016 and 134^{nd} meeting held on 10^{th} & 11^{th} March, 2016 were confirmed.

Agenda No. 2

In the case of J-4, Green Park, New Delhi, it was decided to ask the C.A., Delhi to re-verify the distance. From the re-verification report received from C.A., the distance has been confirmed. It has been reported by both, Director (Monuments) and C.A., Delhi, that the applicant has not violated the conditions as imposed by NMA. Hence, this factual position in this regard is being intimated to the MoC.

In the case of J-13, Green Park, New Delhi, the permission was given by ASI in 2008, on the recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee. Thereafter, the case was received by NMA in year 2013, for revalidation. The same was approved by the then Member Secretary, NMA. The case was never placed in the meeting of NMA. Hence, this factual position in this regard is being intimated to the MoC.

Agenda No. 3

After perusal of the Local Development Plan of the Greater Mumbai, it was decided that in Mumbai, the height of construction projects will be restricted to 75 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, water tank, etc. around the protected monuments, till monument specific heritage byelaws are framed.

Man

After perusal of the reports received from the DDA and NDMC, it was decided that in Delhi, the height for residential buildings will be restricted to 18 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, water tank, etc. and the height for commercial and institutional buildings will be decided on case to case basis, till the framing of Monument Specific Heritage Bye Laws keeping in view the sensitivity of the monuments.

The heights already approved in past cases will be treated as guidelines till such time the Monument specific Heritage Bye Laws are framed. Individual cases will be decided by NMA on case to case basis, as and when Monument Specific Heritage Bye Laws come into force.

Agenda No. 4 (Revised Proposal of Taj Ganj Project)

A presentation was made by the UP Tourism Authority before the members. The case was considered in Agenda No. 5 as a deferred case.

Agenda No. 5

Consideration of NOC applications

Cases for Reconsideration

Case no. 01

(Public Work Department (Keshi Ghat), Agra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to reiterate the decision of NMA to reject the case on the similar grounds mentioned earlier. The draft bye-laws also uphold the view taken by the authority in 114^{th} meeting held on 28.00.2014

Case no. 02

(Allied Multivision Private Limited, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of 2 Basements + Ground + 6 Floors with the total height of 26.55 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.; with floor area of GF=251.70 sqm; FF=SF=TF=FF=FF=SF=334.42 sqm. and 2 Basements with total depth - 8.20 mtrs.

Review Cases

Case no. 01

(Mr. Ikramuddin Essa Khan & Others, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of 2 Basements for Parking; with floor area 1927.00 sqm. with depth of each basement -2.93 mtrs.

National Monuments Authority

Page 2

(Shri Pritam Singh, K-28, Green Park Main, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 17.22 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with the floor area of Stilt=GF=187.19 sqm., FF=SF=TF=184.51 sqm. and Basement=132.10 sqm. & Depth:-3.29 mtrs.

Deferred Cases

Case no. 01

(Shri Dinesh Kumar, Regional Tourist Officer, Agra)

After perusal of the presentation, it was observed that the maximum construction work proposed falls in the prohibited area of the protected monument. Therefore, members suggested that Tajganj Project authorities may amend the proposal and re-submit the same as construction will be allowed only in the regulated area of Taj Mahal since as per AMASR Act, no construction is allowed in the prohibited area of centrally protected monuments. Applicant should also submit a detailed Site Plan marking the proposed sites with reference to the nearby protected monument, Taj Mahal. The prohibited area of Taj has been declared as 200 mtrs. from the protected boundary.

Case no. 02

(Sh. Ramdev urph Prem Kumar, Lucknow)

After perusal of the Building Plan and Site Plan received, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.75 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=62.61 sqm.

Case no. 03

(Shri Patel Hasmukhbhai Amichandbhai, Dev Kutir, Kalika Mandir Road, Patan, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask for the comments of DG, ASI on the following:

- 1. Significance of Sahastralinga Tank in view of the fact that it is in the close vicinity of Rani-Ki-Vav which is the UNESCO Heritage Site and also clarify whether Sahastralinga tank is in the buffer zone of Rani-Ki-Vav or not.
- 2. Archaeological Potential and archaeological spread of Sahastralinga Tank.
- 3. Site Management Plan of Rani-Ki-Vav and surrounding monuments.



Fresh cases

Case no. 01

(Shri Vijay T. Vernekar and other 3, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction with the total height of building restricted to 20 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. The applicant should ensure that he will carry out the construction work in the regulated area only. A revised plan should be submitted by the applicant to CA.

Case no. 02

(Shreemant Sanjeevraja Naik Nimbalkar President Phaltan Arogya Mandal, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 8.00 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF= 155.43 sqm. & FF=150.25 sqm.

Case no. 03

(Mr. Deepak D. Petkar & Mr. Sandip D. Petkar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 13.30 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF= 12.48 sqm. & FF=SF=61.28 sqm.

Case no. 04

(Shri Bhanudas Pandurang Deshmukh and Others 4, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+3 floors with the total height of 14.87 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of Stilt=FF=SF=TF=55.35 sqm.

Case no. 05

(Shri Nirmalkumar Chaganlal Agarwal, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.10 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=23.79 sqm.

Case no. 06

(Mr. Nitin Subhasrao Jadhav, Mr. Ajay Subhasrao Jadhav, Mrs. Indumati Subhasrao Jadhav, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 12.07 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF= 23.86 sqm. & FF=SF=28.19 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Olan

(Mr. Jagannath Tukaram Dalve & Mr. Vitthal Tukaram Dalve, Satara, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 11.25 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of FF=SF=40.31 sqm.

Case no. 08

(Hon. Secretary, District Probation & After- Care Association, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Case no. 09

(Mrs. Veena Sudhir Deshmukh, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 12.35 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=71.61 sqm. & FF=SF=54.92 sqm.

Case no. 10

(Mr. Vinod Suresh Pardeshi, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit a revised building plan upto a height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Case no. 11


(Sou. Mamata Motilal Zanwar, M/s. Hira Builders & Developers, Mr. Rajgopal Hiralal Zanwar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit a revised building plan upto a height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Case no. 12

(Mr. Ghansham Govind Sargar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.35 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=24.66 sqm.



(Mr. Sanjay Dattatray Ghadge, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 5.30 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=56.79 sqm.

Case no. 14

(Smt. Mangal Sahadev Karve, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of First Floor over existing Ground Floor with the total height of building not to exceed 10.04 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of FF=31.18 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following conditions:

 A Regularization Charge (RC) of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior sanction. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 15

(Sau. Neeta Anil Deshmukh, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the reply of Show Cause Notice issued to her by ASI.

Case no. 16

(Mr. Promod Haribhau Bawankar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 6.62 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=109.20 sqm.

Case no. 17

(Mr. Laxman Dhannuji Garothe, President, Sh. Sant Shiromani Guru Ravidas Sansthan, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the reply of Show Cause Notice issued to him by ASI.

Case no. 18

(MICATES Research and Analysis PVT, Ltd, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

(Shri Mukesh Aggerwal, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+GF+2 floors with the total height of 11.15 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with covered area of Basement=GF=FF=SF=187.00 sqft.

Case no. 20

(Sh. Shakti Singh, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.95 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=88.30 sqm. The construction work should be done under supervision of ASI.

Case no. 21

(Sh. Pritam Singh S/o Sh Vikram singh, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 25 feet excluding mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=1870 sqft.

Case no. 22

(Sh. Subhash Chand Jain, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask S.A. to re-verify the distance of the proposed site from the protected monument in this case. Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case no. 23

(M/s N.M Infra Developers, Agra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+GF+2 floors with the total height of 12 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=SF=167.56 sqm. & Basement=136.76 sqm.

Case no. 24

(Shri Naresh Goyal S/o Shri Shyamlal Goyal, Uttarakhand)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 3.90 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=197.27 sqm.

(Kishor Mehrotra, Manish Mehrotra, Ragini Mehrotra, Namita Mehrotra, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+GF+2 floors with the total height of 12.45 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF= 368.32 sqm., SF=334.57 sqm. & Basement=127.89 sqm. with depth -1.5 mtrs.

Case no. 26

(Smt. Jyoti Kiran Arora, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.4 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=162.94 sqm.

Case no. 27

(Smt. Parvati Chaurasia W/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Chaurasia, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 5.75 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=67.35 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following conditions:

 A Regularization Charge (RC) of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior sanction. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 28

(Shri Likesh Thakur S/o Shri Darshan Ial, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 3.352 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=154.762 sqm.

Case no. 29

(Shri Neeraj Narayan & Suraj Narayan S/o Hari Shankar Agrawal, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

(Shri Ajay Gulati S/o Shri Vijay Gulati, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the reply of Show Cause Notice issued to him by ASI and also submit Archaeological Impact Assessment (prepared by SPA, Bhopal by associating an archaeologist) on the revised Performa to be provided by NMA.

Case no. 31

(Shri Mahendra Kumar Soni, Shri Surendra Kumar Soni, Shri Rajesh kumar Soni and Brijesh Kumar Soni S/o Shri Laxmi Prasad Soni, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.23 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=801.54 sqm.

Case no. 32

(Smt. Ramkali Devi W/o late Shri Ram Narayan Bajauliya (141 mtrs.), Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+3 floors with the total height of 12.60 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=49.46 sqm. & FF=SF=TF=38.21 sqm.

Case no. 33

(Smt. Ramkali Devi W/o late Shri Ram Narayan Bajauliya (131 mtrs.), Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+3 floors with the total height of 12.60 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=56.63 sqm. & FF=SF=TF=46.36 sqm.

Case no. 34

(Smt. Ramkali Devi W/o late Shri Ram Narayan Bajauliya (119 mtrs.), Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+3 floors with the total height of 12.60 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=71.31 sqm. & FF=SF=TF=56.70 sqm.

Case no. 35

(Shri Rajesh Kumar, Varanasi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 8.86 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=87.50 sqm.

(Smt. Vandana Singh, Varanasi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.55 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=161.27 sqm & FF=148.72 sqm.

Case no. 38

(Shri Ashok Kumar Aggarwalla, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of 2nd Floor over existing GF+1 floor with the total height of building not to exceed 6.70 mtrs excluding mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area 117.05 sqm.

Case no. 39

(Shri Nuhu Ali Khandakar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 8.5 feet excluding mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area 900 sqft.

Case no. 40

(Shri Ladka Nandkishor Gawas, Goa)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.3 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, watertank etc., with floor area 91.56 sqm.

Case no. 41

(Shri Manjunath Siddhappa Anamsagar, Goa)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 7.05 mtrs. including mumty, parapet, watertank etc.

Case no. 42

(Smt. Latagauree Bhupendrakumar Rathod, Plot No. 17-B, Shivaji Nagar, Panch Pir Road, Talaja- 364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the reply of Show Cause Notice issued to her by ASI.

(Shri Mansukhbhai Muljibhai Bhuva and others, E- 28, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill, Dudheshwar Road, Ahmedabad- 380004, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Case no. 44

(Shri Mehboob Musabhai Ghanchi, C- 13, Ravi Estate Mill, Dudheshwar Road, Ahmedabad-380004, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not, then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA alongwith the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no. 45

(Shri Bhupendrakumar Mathuradas Rathod, Plot No. 17-B, Shivaji Nagar, Panch Pir Road, Talaja- 364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the reply of Show Cause Notice issued to him by ASI.

Case no. 46

(Shri Sharad Niranjan Vyas P.O.A.H. of the Mavji Premji Trust, Rameram Dharmshala, Nr. Brahm Kund, Opp. Pasta Haveli, Opp. Kachchi Seva Samaj Building, Gomti Ghat, Dwarka-361335, Dist. Devbhumi Dwarka, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+3 floors with the total height of 13.80 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=453.77 sqm., FF=439.39 sqm. & SF=TF=439.38 sqm.

Case no. 47

(Shri Birendra Nath Karan, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 6.30 mtrs excluding mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=64.83 sqm.



(Shri Jagannath Puri, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 6.95 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=121.42 sqm. & FF=115.20 sqm.

Case no. 49

(Shri Prasanta Kumar Mishra, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 6.45 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=87.39 sqm. & FF=51.83 sqm.

Case no. 50

(Mrs. Nibedita Sahoo & Mr. Laxmikanta Sahoo, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 7.7 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=259.58 sqm.

Case no. 51

(Shri Rama Krushna Pattanaik, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 6.600 mtrs excluding mumty, parapet, watertank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=86.989 sgm.

Case no. 52

(Pankaja Basini Pani & Jnanendra Kumar Pani, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+3 floors with the total height of 16.45 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of Stilt=423.93 sqm. & FF=SF=TF=449.225 sqm.

Case no. 53

(Shri Siba Prasad Mishra, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

(Smt. A.V. Jayamani W/o N. Nanda Gopal, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=116.51 sqm.

Case no. 55

(Smt. Shobana Reddy W/o G.R. Reddy, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 7.67 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=81.75 sqm.

Case no. 56

(Smt. Pushpa, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Case no. 57

(Shri A.R. Madangopal & shri A.R. Ravichandran, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on ground (Stilt) floor and first floor and construction of second floor with the total height of building restricted to 9.14 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with proposed floor area of Stilt=FF=SF= 574.72 sqm.

Case no. 58

(Smt. A. Lakshmi, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 7.52 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc., with floor area of GF=FF=75.24 sqm.

Case no. 59

(M/s The Blind Relief Association through its Executive Secretary, Blind Relief Association at Lal Bahadur Shashtri Marg, Near Hotel Oberoi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, affidavit and revised drawings submitted by the applicant, it was decided that the applicant can carry out the construction work outside the regulated area of the nearby protected monument, Baoli (Nizamuddin West).

Man

(Shri M.K. Mallick, Chief Project Manager, F-4, PWD (GNCTD), Brar Square Intersection, Ring Road, Delhi Cantt, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **reject** the case under section 20A(4) of the AMASR Act, 2010, as the proposal falls within the prohibited area and no construction is allowed in the prohibited area of the nearby protected monument.

Additional Agenda

Case no. 1

(Saibaba SRA Sahakari Gruhanirman Sansta Sh. K. Ramaswamy, (Chairman), Maharashtra)

The case was recommended in the 103rd meeting of NMA held on 4th June, 2014. After perusal of the case, it was decided to release the NOC withheld by NMA.

Case no. 2

(Arjun A. Waghmare M/s Sameer Construction, Maharashtra)

The case was recommended in the 106th meeting of NMA held on 18th July, 2014. After perusal of the case, it was decided to release the NOC withheld by NMA.